

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,130	09/21/2005	Takafumi Fujii	576P078	1262	
42754	7590 01/10/2	008	EXAMINER		
•	Nields & Lemack 176 E. Main Street			KLEMANSKI, HELENE G	
Suite #5 Westboro, MA 01581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
westooro, MA	4 01361		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/550,130	FUJII ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Helene Klemanski	1793				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. heriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this of the ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
	=-,=						
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
			. 11, 100 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.				
/—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	` '			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
_	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•	•					
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application				
Papel	No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/12/05</u> .	6)	<del>_</del>				

10/550,130 Art Unit: 1793

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references cited in the Search Report dated May 14, 2004 have been considered.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9 and 19-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, the first line after Formula (1), the phrase "[ in Formula (1)," should be replaced with the term "wherein"; also in claim 1, the thirteenth line after Formula (1), the period and the right bracket should be deleted; lastly in claim 1, the first line after Formula (3), ", in Formula (3)," should be replaced with the term "wherein"; in claim 2, the first line after Formula (4), ", in Formula (4)," should be replaced with the term "wherein"; in claim 4, all the left and right parentheses should be deleted; in claim 7, the first line after Formula (5), "(in Formula (5), respectively," should be replaced with the term "wherein"; and lastly in claim 5, the last line, the right parenthesis should be deleted; in claim 9, line 1, the phrase "characterized by" should be deleted; in claim 19. line 2, the phrase "characterized by" should be replaced with the term "comprising"; in claim 20, lines 1 and 2, the phrase "wherein, in the phthalocyanine colorant" should be deleted; also in claim 20, the first line after Formula (9), the left parenthesis should be deleted; lastly in claim 20, the last line, the right parenthesis and the comma should be deleted; in claim 21, the first line after Formula (10), the left parenthesis should be

10/550,130 Art Unit: 1793

deleted and lastly in claim 21, the last line, the right parenthesis should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 11-13, the terms "is used" and "using" are indefinite. A "process" defined in the sole terms of "use of" does not define patentable subject matter under 35 USC 101. See In re Fong, 129 U.S.P.Q. 264 (CCPA 1961). The examiner suggests incorporating defined method steps into these claims to overcome this rejection.

Claim 13 is considered confusing since it is dependent upon two different claims.

The examiner suggests incorporating the ink composition as defined in claim 9 into claim 13 or incorporating the ink set according to claim 12 into claim 13 to overcome this rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

10/550,130 Art Unit: 1793

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robertson et al. (US 6,149,722).
- 7. Robertson et al. teach an ink composition comprising water, an organic solvent and 0.01-30 parts by weight of one or more phthalocyanine compounds of the formula

$$M_{v}Pc \underbrace{(SO_{3}H)_{x}}_{(SO_{2}NH_{2})_{y}}$$

$$\underbrace{(SO_{2}NR^{1}R^{2})_{z}}_{(SO_{2}NR^{2}R^{2})_{z}}$$

wherein M is a metal such as Cu; Pc represents a phthalocyanine nucleus;  $R^1$  is H;  $R^2$  is -(CH<sub>2</sub>)nR<sup>3</sup>, or  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  together with the nitrogen atom to which they are attached represent a 5- or 6-membered ring; n is an integer of 1-30;  $R^3$  independently represents sulpho, - $CO_2R^5$  or  $-NR^6R^7$ ;  $R^5$  and  $R^6$  independently represent a  $C_{1-30}$  alkyl group optionally substituted by sulpho or carboxy; v is the valence of Pc divided by the valence of M; x is from 1.2-3.8; y is from 0.1-2.7, z is from 0.1-2.7 and x, y and z satisfy  $2 \le x+y+z \le 4$ . Robertson et al. further teach a process for printing comprising ejecting the above ink jet ink composition onto a substrate such as a coated paper. See col. 1, line 38 - col. 2, line 55, col. 5, lines 1-63, col. 7, lines 40-63, examples 3 and 4 and claims 1-5, 10 and 15-19. The phthalocyanine compounds and ink composition as taught by Robertson et al. appears to anticipate the present claims.

Art Unit: 1793

#### Conclusion

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Klemanski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/550,130

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Helene Klemanski

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1793

January 6, 2008